# UFM 015

**Mobile filtration unit 15 l/min flow rate**



## INSTALLATION, SERVICE AND MAINTENANCE MANUAL AND SAFETY INSTRUCTIONS

Please scan or click the QR codes
to get updated electronic version
of the related document.

***Scan or click me!***

# Contamination management

## INDEX


| | | Page |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | HYDRAULIC FLUIDS | 12 |
| 2 | FLUIDS CONTAMINATION | 12 |
| 3 | FLUIDS COMPATIBILITY CHART | 12 |
| 4 | EFFECTS OF CONTAMINATION ON HYDRAULIC COMPONENTS | 13 |
| 5 | MEASURING THE SOLID CONTAMINATION LEVEL | 13 |
| 6 | FILTRATION TECHNOLOGIES | 16 |
| 7 | APPLICABLE STANDARDS FOR FILTER DEVELOPMENT | 17 |

## 1 HYDRAULIC FLUIDS

The fluid is the vector that transmits power, energy within an oleodynamic circuit. In addition to transmitting energy through the circuit, it also performs additional functions such as lubrication, protection and cooling of the surfaces.
The classification of fluids used in hydraulic systems is coded in many regulatory references, different Standards.

The most important classification system for hydraulic fluids is the one defined by International Organization for Standardization (ISO), which established a classification system within their standard: "ISO 6743-4 Lubricants, Industrial Oils and Related Products" . In particular, the parts of interest for hydraulic fluids are:
- Lubricants, industrial oils and related products (class L)
- Classifications - Part 4L - Family H (Hydraulic systems)

The ISO 6743-4 classification system can be generally applied to the three primary classes of hydraulic fluids:

- Mineral Oils (i.e.: petroleum) Hydraulic Fluids (i.e.: HH: Mineral lubricants without corrosion inhibitors; HL: HH-type lubricants with oxidation reduction and anticorrosive additives; HM: HL-type lubricants with anti-wear additives; HV: HM-type lubricants with a higher viscosity grade and temperature properties; and others).

- Biodegradable Hydraulic Fluids (HExx), also defined as "Environmentally acceptable hydraulic fluids".

- Fire Resistant Hydraulic Fluids (HFxx), which could be further split into: Fire-resistant aqueous fluids (HFAx, HFB; HFC) ; Fire-resistant synthetic anhydrous fluids (HFDx).

The choice of fluid for an hydraulic system must take into account several parameters.
These parameters can adversely affect the performance of an hydraulic system, causing delay in the controls, pump cavitation, excessive absorption, excessive temperature rise, efficiency reduction, increased drainage, wear, jam/block or air intake in the plant.

The main properties that characterize hydraulic fluids and affect their choice are:
- DYNAMIC VISCOSITY
  It identifies the fluid's resistance to sliding due to the impact of the particles forming it.

- KINEMATIC VISCOSITY
  It is a widespread formal dimension in the hydraulic field.
  It is calculated with the ratio between the dynamic viscosity and the fluid density.
  Kinematic viscosity varies with temperature and pressure variations.

- VISCOSITY INDEX
  This value expresses the ability of a fluid to maintain viscosity when the temperature changes.
  A high viscosity index indicates the fluid's ability to limit viscosity variations by varying the temperature.

- FILTERABILITY INDEX
  It is the value that indicates the ability of a fluid to cross the filter materials.
  A low filterability index could cause premature clogging of the filter material.

- WORKING TEMPERATURE
  Working temperature affects the fundamental characteristics of the fluid.
  As already seen, some fluid characteristics, such as cinematic viscosity, vary with the temperature variation.
  When choosing a hydraulic oil, must therefore be taken into account of the environmental conditions in which the machine will operate.

- COMPRESSIBILITY MODULE
  Every fluid subjected to a pressure contracts, increasing its density.
  The compressibility module identifies the increase in pressure required to cause a corresponding increase in density.

- HYDROLYTIC STABILITY
  It is the characteristic that prevents galvanic pairs that can cause wear in the plant/system.

- ANTIOXIDANT STABILITY AND WEAR PROTECTION
  These features translate into the capacity of a hydraulic oil to avoid corrosion of metal elements inside the system.

- HEAT TRANSFER CAPACITY
  It is the characteristic that indicates the capacity of hydraulic oil to exchange heat with the surfaces and then cool them.

## 2 FLUID CONTAMINATION

Whatever the nature and properties of fluids, they are inevitably subject to contamination. Fluid contamination can have two origins:

- INITIAL CONTAMINATION
  Caused by the introduction of contaminated fluid into the circuit, or by incorrect storage, transport or transfer operations.

- PROGRESSIVE CONTAMINATION
  Caused by factors related to the operation of the system, such as metal surface wear, sealing wear, oxidation or degradation of the fluid, the introduction of contaminants during maintenance, corrosion due to chemical or electrochemical action between fluid and components, cavitation.
  The contamination of hydraulic systems can be of different nature:

- SOLID CONTAMINATION
  For example rust, slag, metal particles, fibers, rubber particles, paint particles or additives

- LIQUID CONTAMINATION
  For example, the presence of water due to condensation or external infiltration or acids

- GASEOUS CONTAMINATION
  For example, the presence of air due to inadequate oil level in the tank, drainage in suction ducts, incorrect sizing of tubes or tanks.

## 3 FLUID COMPATIBILITY CHARTS

For more detailed information on specific fluid compatibility please refer to the fluid compatibility charts on our website:

***Scan or click me!***

## 4 EFFECTS OF CONTAMINATION ON HYDRAULIC COMPONENTS

Solid contamination is recognized as the main cause of malfunction, failure and early degradation in hydraulic systems. It is impossible to delete it completely, but it can be effectively controlled by appropriate devices.

CONTAMINATION IN PRESENCE OF LARGE TOLERANCES



CONTAMINATION IN PRESENCE OF NARROW TOLERANCES



Solid contamination mainly causes surface damage and component wear.

- ABRASION OF SURFACES
  Cause of leakage through mechanical seals, reduction of system performance, failures.

- SURFACE EROSION
  Cause of leakage through mechanical seals, reduction of system performance, variation in adjustment of control components, failures.

- ADHESION OF MOVING PARTS
  Cause of failure due to lack of lubrication.

- DAMAGES DUE TO FATIGUE
  Cause of breakdowns and components breakdown.

ABRASION



EROSION



ADHESION



FATIGUE



Liquid contamination mainly results in decay of lubrication performance and protection of fluid surfaces.

**DISSOLVED WATER**

- INCREASING FLUID ACIDITY
  Cause of surface corrosion and premature fluid oxidation
- GALVANIC COUPLE AT HIGH TEMPERATURES
  Cause of corrosion

**FREE WATER - ADDITIONAL EFFECTS**

- DECAY OF LUBRICANT PERFORMANCE
  Cause of rust and sludge formation, metal corrosion and increased solid contamination
- BATTERY COLONY CREATION
  Cause of worsening in the filterability feature
- ICE CREATION AT LOW TEMPERATURES
  Cause damage to the surface
- ADDITIVE DEPLETION
  Free water retains polar additives

Gaseous contamination mainly results in decay of system performance.

- CUSHION SUSPENSION
  Cause of increased noise and cavitation.
- FLUID OXIDATION
  Cause of corrosion acceleration of metal parts.
- MODIFICATION OF FLUID PROPERTIES
  (COMPRESSIBILITY MODULE, DENSITY, VISCOSITY)
  Cause of system's reduction of efficiency and of control.
  It is easy to understand how a system without proper contamination management is subject to higher costs than a system that is provided.
- MAINTENANCE
  Increase maintenance activities, spare parts, machine stop costs.
- ENERGY AND EFFICIENCY
  Efficiency and performance reduction due to friction, drainage, cavitation.


## 5 MEASURING THE SOLID CONTAMINATION LEVEL

The level of contamination of a system identifies the amount of contaminant contained in a fluid. This parameter refers to a unit volume of fluid.
The level of contamination may be different at different points in the system. From the information in the previous paragraphs it is also apparent that the level of contamination is heavily influenced by the working conditions of the system, by its working years and by the environmental conditions.


What is the size of the contaminating particles that we must handle in our hydraulic circuit?



HUMAN HAIR
**(75 µm)**



MINIMUM DIMENSION VISIBLE WITH HUMAN EYES
**(40 µm)**



TYPICAL CONTAMINANT DIMENSION IN A HYDRAULIC CIRCUIT
**(4 - 14 µm)**

Contamination level analysis is significant only if performed with a uniform and repeatable method, conducted with standard test methods and suitably calibrated equipment. To this end, ISO has issued a set of standards that allow tests to be conducted and express the measured values in the following ways.

- GRAVIMETRIC LEVEL - ISO 4405

The level of contamination is defined by checking the weight of particles collected by a laboratory membrane. The membrane must be cleaned, dried and desiccated, with fluid and conditions defined by the Standard.
The volume of fluid is filtered through the membrane by using a suitable suction system. The weight of the contaminant is determined by checking the weight of the membrane before and after the fluid filtration.



CLEAN MEMBRANE



CONTAMINATED MEMBRANE

- CUMULATIVE DISTRIBUTION OF THE PARTICLES SIZE - ISO 4406

The level of contamination is defined by counting the number of particles of certain dimensions per unit of volume of fluid. Measurement is performed by Contamination Monitoring Products (CMP).
Following the count, the contamination classes are determined, corresponding to the number of particles detected in the unit of fluid.
The most common classification methods follow ISO 4406 and SAE AS 4059 (Aerospace Sector) regulations.
NAS 1638 is still used although obsolete.

**Classification example according to ISO 4406**

The International Standards Organization standard ISO 4406 is the preferred method of quoting the number of solid contaminant particles in a sample. The level of contamination is defined by counting the number of particles of certain dimensions per unit of volume of fluid. The measurement is performed by Contamination Monitoring Products (CMP).

The numbers represent a code which identifies the number of particles of certain sizes in 1ml of fluid. Each code number has a particular size range.
The first scale number represents the number of particles equal to or larger than 4 $\mu m_{(c)}$ per millilitre of fluid;
The second scale number represents the number of particles equal to or larger than 6 $\mu m_{(c)}$ per millilitre of fluid;
The third scale number represents the number of particles equal to or larger than 14 $\mu m_{(c)}$ per millilitre of fluid.


ISO 4406 - Allocation of Scale Numbers

| Class | Number of particles per ml | |
|---|---|---|
| | Over | Up to |
| 28 | 1 300 000 | 2 500 000 |
| 27 | 640 000 | 1 300 000 |
| 26 | 320 000 | 640 000 |
| 25 | 160 000 | 320 000 |
| 24 | 80 000 | 160 000 |
| 23 | 40 000 | 80 000 |
| 22 | 20 000 | 40 000 |
| 21 | 10 000 | 20 000 |
| 20 | 5 000 | 10 000 |
| 19 | 2 500 | 5 000 |
| 18 | 1 300 | 2 500 |
| 17 | 640 | 1 300 |
| 16 | 320 | 640 |
| 15 | 160 | 320 |
| 14 | 80 | 160 |
| 13 | 40 | 80 |
| 12 | 20 | 40 |
| 11 | 10 | 20 |
| 10 | 5 | 10 |
| 9 | 2.5 | 5 |
| 8 | 1.3 | 2.5 |
| 7 | 0.64 | 1.3 |
| 6 | 0.32 | 0.64 |
| 5 | 0.16 | 0.32 |
| 4 | 0.08 | 0.16 |
| 3 | 0.04 | 0.08 |
| 2 | 0.02 | 0.04 |
| 1 | 0.01 | 0.02 |
| 0 | 0 | 0.01 |

> 4 $\mu m_{(c)}$ = 350 particles
> 6 $\mu m_{(c)}$ = 100 particles
> 14 $\mu m_{(c)}$ = 25 particles
16 / 14 / 12

ISO 4406 Cleanliness Code System

Microscope counting examines the particles differently to Contamination Monitoring Products (CMP) and the code is given with two scale numbers only. These are at 5 µm and 15 µm equivalent to the 6 $\mu m_{(c)}$ and 14 $\mu m_{(c)}$ of Contamination Monitoring Products (CMP).



- CUMULATIVE DISTRIBUTION OF THE PARTICLES SIZE
  SAE AS4059-1 and SAE AS4059-2

**Classification example according to SAE AS4059 - Rev. G**

The code, prepared for the aerospace industry, is based on the size, quantity, and particle spacing in a 100 ml fluid sample. The contamination classes are defined by numeric codes, the size of the contaminant is identified by letters (A-F).

This SAE Aerospace Standard (AS) defines cleanliness levels for particulate contamination of hydraulic fluids and includes methods of reporting data relating to the contamination levels. Tables 1 and 2 below provide differential and cumulative particle counts respectively for counts obtained by an automatic particle counter, e.g. LPA3.

Table 1 - Class for differential measurement

| Class | Dimension of contaminant Maximum Contamination Limits per 100 ml | | | | | (3) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 5-15 µm | 15-25 µm | 25-50 µm | 50-100 µm | >100 µm | (1) |
| | 6-14 $\mu m_{(c)}$ | 14-21 $\mu m_{(c)}$ | 21-38 $\mu m_{(c)}$ | 38-70 $\mu m_{(c)}$ | >70 $\mu m_{(c)}$ | (2) |
| 00 | 125 | 22 | 4 | 1 | 0 | |
| 0 | 250 | 44 | 8 | 2 | 0 | |
| 1 | 500 | 89 | 16 | 3 | 1 | |
| 2 | 1 000 | 178 | 32 | 6 | 1 | |
| 3 | 2 000 | 356 | 63 | 11 | 2 | |
| 4 | 4 000 | 712 | 126 | 22 | 4 | |
| 5 | 8 000 | 1 425 | 253 | 45 | 8 | |
| 6 | 16 000 | 2 850 | 506 | 90 | 16 | |
| 7 | 32 000 | 5 700 | 1 012 | 180 | 32 | |
| 8 | 64 000 | 11 400 | 2 025 | 360 | 64 | |
| 9 | 128 000 | 22 800 | 4 050 | 720 | 128 | |
| 10 | 256 000 | 45 600 | 8 100 | 1 440 | 256 | |
| 11 | 512 000 | 91 200 | 16 200 | 2 880 | 512 | |
| 12 | 1 024 000 | 182 400 | 32 400 | 5 760 | 1 024 | |

6 - 14 $\mu m_{(c)}$ = 15 000 particles
14 - 21 $\mu m_{(c)}$ = 2 200 particles
21 - 38 $\mu m_{(c)}$ = 200 particles
38 - 70 $\mu m_{(c)}$ = 35 particles
> 70 $\mu m_{(c)}$ = 3 particles
SAE AS4059 REV G - Class 6

**(1)** Size range, optical microscope, based on longest dimension as measured per AS598 or ISO 4407. **(2)** Size range CMP calibrated per ISO 11171 or an optical or electron microscope with image analysis software, based on projected area equivalent diameter. **(3)** Contamination classes and particle count limits are identical to NAS 1638.

Table 2 - Class for cumulative measurement

| Class | Dimension of contaminant Maximum Contamination Limits per 100 ml | | | | | | (1) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | >1 µm | >5 µm | >15 µm | >25 µm | >50 µm | >100 µm | |
| | >4 $\mu m_{(c)}$ | >6 $\mu m_{(c)}$ | >14 $\mu m_{(c)}$ | >21 $\mu m_{(c)}$ | >38 $\mu m_{(c)}$ | >70 $\mu m_{(c)}$ | (2) |
| 000 | 195 | 76 | 14 | 3 | 1 | 0 | |
| 00 | 390 | 152 | 27 | 5 | 1 | 0 | |
| 0 | 780 | 304 | 54 | 10 | 2 | 0 | |
| 1 | 1 560 | 609 | 109 | 20 | 4 | 1 | |
| 2 | 3 120 | 1 217 | 217 | 39 | 7 | 1 | |
| 3 | 6 250 | 2 432 | 432 | 76 | 13 | 2 | |
| 4 | 12 500 | 4 864 | 864 | 152 | 26 | 4 | |
| 5 | 25 000 | 9 731 | 1 731 | 306 | 53 | 8 | |
| 6 | 50 000 | 19 462 | 3 462 | 612 | 106 | 16 | |
| 7 | 100 000 | 38 924 | 6 924 | 1 224 | 212 | 32 | |
| 8 | 200 000 | 77 849 | 13 849 | 2 449 | 424 | 64 | |
| 9 | 400 000 | 155 698 | 27 698 | 4 898 | 848 | 128 | |
| 10 | 800 000 | 311 396 | 55 396 | 9 796 | 1 696 | 256 | |
| 11 | 1 600 000 | 622 792 | 110 792 | 19 592 | 3 392 | 512 | |
| 12 | 3 200 000 | 1 245 584 | 221 584 | 39 184 | 6 784 | 1 024 | |

> 4 $\mu m_{(c)}$ = 45 000 particles
> 6 $\mu m_{(c)}$ = 15 000 particles
> 14 $\mu m_{(c)}$ = 1 500 particles
> 21 $\mu m_{(c)}$ = 250 particles
> 38 $\mu m_{(c)}$ = 15 particles
> 70 $\mu m_{(c)}$ = 3 particle
SAE AS4059 REV G
cpc* Class 6 6/6/5/5/4/2

* cumulative particle count

**(1)** Size range, optical microscope, based on longest dimension as measured per AS598 or ISO 4407. **(2)** Size range, CMP calibrated per ISO 11171 or an optical or electron microscope with image analysis software, based on projected area equivalent diameter. **(3)** Contamination classes and particle count limits are identical to NAS 1638.

- CLASSES OF CONTAMINATION ACCORDING TO NAS 1638 (January 1964)

The NAS system was originally developed in 1964 to define contamination classes for the contamination contained within aircraft components.
The application of this standard was extended to industrial hydraulic systems simply because nothing else existed at the time.
The coding system defines the maximum numbers permitted of 100 ml volume at various size intervals (differential counts) rather than using cumulative counts as in ISO 4406. Although there is no guidance given in the standard on how to quote the levels, most industrial users quote a single code which is the highest recorded in all sizes and this convention is used on MP Filtri Contamination Monitoring Products (CMP).

The contamination classes are defined by a number (from 00 to 12) which indicates the maximum number of particles per 100 ml, counted on a differential basis, in a given size bracket.

Size Range Classes (in microns)

| Maximum Contamination Limits per 100 ml | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Class | 5-15 | 15-25 | 25-50 | 50-100 | >100 |
| 00 | 125 | 22 | 4 | 1 | 0 |
| 0 | 250 | 44 | 8 | 2 | 0 |
| 1 | 500 | 89 | 16 | 3 | 1 |
| 2 | 1 000 | 178 | 32 | 6 | 1 |
| 3 | 2 000 | 356 | 63 | 11 | 2 |
| 4 | 4 000 | 712 | 126 | 22 | 4 |
| 5 | 8 000 | 1 425 | 253 | 45 | 8 |
| 6 | 16 000 | 2 850 | 506 | 90 | 16 |
| 7 | 32 000 | 5 700 | 1 012 | 180 | 32 |
| 8 | 64 000 | 11 400 | 2 025 | 360 | 64 |
| 9 | 128 000 | 22 800 | 4 050 | 720 | 128 |
| 10 | 256 000 | 45 600 | 8 100 | 1 440 | 256 |
| 11 | 512 000 | 91 200 | 16 200 | 2 880 | 512 |
| 12 | 1 024 000 | 182 400 | 32 400 | 5 760 | 1 024 |

5-15 µm = 42 000 particles
15-25 µm = 2 200 particles
25-50 µm = 150 particles
50-100 µm = 18 particles
> 100 µm = 3 particles
Class NAS 8

- CUMULATIVE DISTRIBUTION OF THE PARTICLES SIZE - ISO 4407

The level of contamination is defined by counting the number of particles collected by a laboratory membrane per unit of fluid volume. The measurement is done by a microscope. The membrane must be cleaned, dried and desiccated, with fluid and conditions defined by the Standard. The fluid volume is filtered through the membrane, using a suitable suction system.
The level of contamination is identified by dividing the membrane into a predefined number of areas and by counting the contaminant particles using a suitable laboratory microscope.

MICROSCOPE CONTROL AND MEASUREMENT



Example figure 1and 2

COMPARISON PHOTOGRAPH'S
1 graduation = 10µm



Fig. 1



Fig. 2

For other comparison photographs for contamination classes see the "*Filtration and Particle Analyser Handbook*".

***Scan or click me!***

- CLEANLINESS CODE COMPARISON

Although ISO 4406 standard is being used extensively within the hydraulics industry other standards are occasionally required and a comparison may be requested. The table below gives a very general comparison but often no direct comparison is possible due to the different classes and sizes involved.

| ISO 4406 | SAE AS4059 Table 2 | SAE AS4059 Table 1 | NAS 1638 |
|---|---|---|---|
| > 4 µm(c)<br>6 µm(c)<br>14 µm(c) | > 4 µm(c)<br>6 µm(c)<br>14 µm(c) | 4-6<br>6-14<br>14-21<br>21-38<br>38-70<br>>70 | 5-15<br>15-25<br>25-50<br>50-100<br>>100 |
| 23 / 21 / 18 | 13A / 12B / 12C | 12 | 12 |
| 22 / 20 / 17 | 12A / 11B / 11C | 11 | 11 |
| 21 / 19 / 16 | 11A / 10B / 10C | 10 | 10 |
| 20 / 18 / 15 | 10A / 9B / 9B | 9 | 9 |
| 19 / 17 / 14 | 9A / 8B / 8C | 8 | 8 |
| 18 / 16 / 13 | 8A / 7B / 7C | 7 | 7 |
| 17 / 15 / 12 | 7A / 6B / 6C | 6 | 6 |
| 16 / 14 / 11 | 6A / 5B / 5C | 5 | 5 |
| 15 / 13 / 10 | 5A / 4B / 4C | 4 | 4 |
| 14 / 12 / 09 | 4A / 3B / 3C | 3 | 3 |


## 6 FILTRATION TECHNOLOGIES

Various mechanisms such as mechanical stoppage, magnetism, gravimetric deposit, or centrifugal separation can be used to reduce the level of contamination.
The mechanical stoppage method is most effective and can take place in two ways:


- SURFACE FILTRATION
  It is by direct interception. The filter prevents particles larger than the pores from continuing in the plant / system. Surface filters are generally manufactured with metal canvases or meshes.

- DEPTH FILTERING
  Filters are constructed by fiber interlacing. Such wraps form pathways of different shapes and sizes in which the particles remain trapped when they find smaller apertures than their diameter.
  Depth filters are generally produced with papers impregnated with phenolic resins, metal fibers or inorganic fibers.
  In inorganic fiber filtration, commonly called microfibre, the filtering layers are often overlapped in order to increase the ability to retain the contaminant.


WIRE MESH FILTRATION




PAPER FILTRATION




MICROFIBER FILTRATION






The filtration efficiency of metallic mesh filtrations is defined as the maximum particle size that can pass through the meshes of the filtering grid.
The efficiency of microfibre and paper filtration ($\beta_{x(c)}$) is defined through a lab test called Multipass Test. The efficiency value ($\beta_{x(c)}$) is defined as the ratio between the number of particles of certain dimensions detected upstream and downstream of the filter.

$$\frac{\text{Upstream particles number} > X\ \mu m_{(c)}}{\text{Downstream particles number} > X\ \mu m_{(c)}} = \beta_{x(c)}$$



| Value ($\beta_{x(c)}$) | 2 | 10 | 75 | 100 | 200 | 1000 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Efficiency | 50% | 90% | 98.7% | 99% | 99.5% | 99.9% |

Test conditions, such as type of fluid to be used (MIL-H-5606), type of contaminant to be used (ISO MTD), fluid viscosity, test temperature, are determined by ISO 16889.
In addition to the filtration efficiency value during the Multipass test, other important features, such as filtration stability ($\beta$ stability) and dirt holding capacity (DHC), are also tested.
Poor filtration stability is the cause of the filtering quality worsening as the filter life rises. Low dirt holding capacity causes a reduction in the life of the filter.

| Filtration ISO Standard Comparison | | |
|---|---|---|
| $\beta_{x(c)}$ > 1000 ISO 16889 | $\beta_x$ > 200 ISO 4572 | MP Filtri Filter media code |
| 5 µm(c) | 3 µm | A (00) 03 |
| 7 µm(c) | 6 µm | A (00) 06 |
| 10 µm(c) | 10 µm | A (00) 10 |
| 16 µm(c) | 18 µm | A (00) 16 |
| 21 µm(c) | 25 µm | A (00) 25 |

## 7 APPLICABLE STANDARDS FOR FILTER DEVELOPMENT

In order to obtain unique criteria for development and verification of the filters performance, specific regulations for the filters and filter elements testing have been issued by ISO. These norms describe the target, the methodology, the conditions and the presentation methods for the test results.

**ISO 2941**

*Hydraulic fluid power -- Filter elements -- Verification of collapse/burst pressure rating*

This Standard describes the method for testing the collapse / burst resistance of the filter elements.
The test is performed by crossing the contaminated fluid filter element at a predefined flow rate. The progressive clogging of the filter element, determined by contamination, causes an increase in differential pressure.

**ISO 2942**

*Hydraulic fluid power -- Filter elements -- Verification of fabrication integrity and determination of the first bubble point*

This Standard describes the method to verify the integrity of the assembled filter elements.
It can be used to verify the quality of the production process or the quality of the materials by verifying the pressure value of the first bubble point.

**ISO 2943**

*Hydraulic fluid power -- Filter elements -- Verification of material compatibility with fluids*

This Standard describes the method to verify the compatibility of materials with certain hydraulic fluids.
The test is carried out by keeping the element (the material sample) immersed in the fluid under high or low temperature conditions for a given period of time and verifying the retention of the characteristics.

**ISO 3723**

*Hydraulic fluid power -- Filter elements -- Method for end load test*

This Standard describes the method for verifying the axial load resistance of the filter elements.
After performing the procedure described in ISO 2943, the designed axial load is applied to the filter element. To verify the test results, then the test described in ISO 2941 is performed.

**ISO 3968**

*Hydraulic fluid power -- Filters -- Evaluation of differential pressure versus flow characteristics*

This Standard describes the method for checking the pressure drop across the filter.
The test is carried out by crossing the filter from a given fluid and by detecting upstream and downstream pressures.
Some of the parameters defined by the Standard are the fluid, the test temperature, the size of the tubes, the position of the pressure detection points.

**ISO 16889**

*Hydraulic fluid power -- Filters -- Multi-pass method for evaluating filtration performance of a filter element*

This Standard describes the method to check the filtration characteristics of the filter elements.
The test is performed by constant introduction of contaminant (ISO MTD).
The characteristics observed during the test are the filtration efficiency and the dirty holding capacity related to the differential pressure.

**ISO 23181**

*Hydraulic fluid power -- Filter elements -- Determination of resistance to flow fatigue using high viscosity fluid*

This Standard describes the method for testing the fatigue resistance of the filter elements. The test is carried out by subjecting the filter to continuous flow variations, thus differential pressure, using a high viscosity fluid.

**ISO 11170**

*Hydraulic fluid power -- Sequence of tests for verifying performance characteristics of filter elements*

The Standard describes the method for testing the performance of filter elements. The protocol described by the regulations provides the sequence of all the tests described above in order to verify all the working characteristics (mechanical, hydraulic and filtration).

**ISO 10771-1**

*Hydraulic fluid power -- Fatigue pressure testing of metal pressure-containing envelopes -- Test method*

This Standard describes the method to check the resistance of the hydraulic components with pulsing pressure.
It can be applied to all metal components (excluding tubes) subject to cyclic pressure used in the hydraulic field.

## Description

### Mobile filtration units

The UFM 015 is a portable oil transfer/filtration unit, specifically designed for both filling/transferring hydraulic oils from containers to the hydraulic tank as well as filtering and cleaning hydraulic systems.
The unit utilizes FEX filter element, thus increasing the dirt holding capacity and granting low pressure drop of the unit.
The unit has the flexibility in being able to offer a wide range of medias and micron ratings to suit any application.
The unit is very compact and lightweight.

### Features & Benefits

- Handle size
- Light
- Easy to use
- Easy maintenance
- Reliable
- Absolute filtration









## Technical data

**Pump**
Gear pump

**Electric Motor**
0.18 kW 230 V single phase electric motor

**Flow (l/min)**
15 l/min - 1450 r.p.m.

**Max. Operation Pressure**
4.0 bar

**Viscosity range**
Min. operation 10 cSt
Max. operation 200 cSt
Max. only for cold start 400 cSt

**Suction Filter**
Type Y filtration 500 µm

**Filtration Rating**
See designation order for cartridge and filter elements

**Bypass valve Δp set**
Rating 3.5 bar

**Fluid Temperature**
From +5 °C to 60 °C

**Ambient Temperature**
From +5 °C to 40 °C

**Weight**
14.8 kg (32.6 lb)

**Dimensions**
See drawings

**Protection Class**
IP55

**Seal**
NBR

**Fluid Compatibility**
Mineral Oil - Other on request

| Suction hose | lance |
|---|---|
| DN18 length 2500 mm | DN/OD20 length 400 mm |

| Pressure hose | lance |
|---|---|
| DN18 length 2500 mm | DN/OD18 length 400 mm |

**Equipment**
Visual clogging indicator (gauge)

CE **Standard**

## The concept of filtration




ELIXIR®

**RFEX - RETURN FILTER**

Lighter, easier to use, and kinder to the environment - MP Filtri's new ELIXIR low pressure concept filters have been specially designed for in-line connections and to handle working pressures up to 1.6 MPa (16 bar).

The cast aluminum head and polyamide bowl design reduces weight by 10% compared to the Spin-on range.

Less waste reduces both your carbon footprint and protects the environment. Replacement is fast and easy, just disassemble the bowl with a 32 mm fixed wrench, take out the FEX filter element and replace.

## Designation & Ordering code

### MOBILE FILTRATION UNIT UFM 015

Configuration example: UFM | 015 | M | A | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | P01

**Series**
**UFM**

**Size**
**015** 15 l/min

**Electric motor**
**M** 230 V single phase

**Seals**
**A** NBR

**Pressure gauges and Clogging indicators (see below)**
**1** Manometer (*)

**Filter element**
**0** Without element (for ordering, see below)

**Filtration surface**
**0** Not provided

**Option**
**0** No options

**Option**
**P01** MP Filtri standard

**Filtration element should be ordered separately**

### FILTER ELEMENT

Example: FEX | 110 | 20 | A0010 | C | A | 00 | NN | P01 | NN

**Series, size and length**
**FEX110 20**

**Filtration rating (filter media)**

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **A0003** | Inorganic microfiber | 3 µm | **M0025** | Wire mesh | 25 µm |
| **A0006** | Inorganic microfiber | 6 µm | **M0060** | Wire mesh | 60 µm |
| **A0010** | Inorganic microfiber | 10 µm | **M0090** | Wire mesh | 90 µm |
| **A0016** | Inorganic microfiber | 16 µm | **P0010** | Resin-impregnated paper | 10 µm |
| **A0025** | Inorganic microfiber | 25 µm | **P0025** | Resin-impregnated paper | 25 µm |
| **W0025** | Water absorber inorganic microfiber | 25 µm | | | |

**Element Δp**
**C** 8 bar

**Seals and treatments**
**A** NBR

**Bypass**
**00** Without bypass

**Additional features**
**NN** Without additional features

**Execution**
**P01** Standard catalogue item


**Certificates**
**NN** None


### CLOGGING INDICATORS


**BVA** Axial pressure gauge

| Settings | Ordering code |
|---|---|
| 2.5 bar (36 psi) ±10% | BV A 25 P01 |

## Dimensions




**For the complete spare part list please refer to manual**

*Scan or click me!*

HYDRAULIC CIRCUIT

